

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/634,691	08/08/2000	Hoyt A. Fleming III	500122.02	6789	
27076 7	590 05/15/2003				
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SUITE 3400 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			LE, HII	EU C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2142	15-	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

		CI
Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
09/634,691	FLEMING, HOYT A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hieu c. Le	2142	

		ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper Other:	ach stan
	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 1449) Pages	
□ ۄ	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	disapproved by the Evenines
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-14,16-17,20-23</u> .	
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .	
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be enter explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the control of the con	•
_	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed Se raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	·
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been application in condition for allowance because:	en considered but does NOT place the
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d in a separate, timely filed amendment
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
(d)) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal issues for appeal; and/or	by materially reducing or simplifying the
• • •	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(a)) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed with 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr	
have bed 37 CFR (b) abov	706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 ten filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amout 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originarye, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under lly set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
a) 🖸 b) 🗀	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS	ng date of the final rejection.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b))]
Theref final re condit	REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment of the conformal allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3 ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in) a timely filed Request for Continued
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet wi	·

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added features in claims 1,5,20 are distinct from the limitation found in the final from reject claims.

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER

newstran